

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/923,113	08/06/2001	Shane D. Wolf	01.39US-AVEDA	9546
75	90 06/21/2002			
Peter Giancana Estee Lauder Companies, Inc. 125 Pinelawn Road			EXAMINER	
			MANAHAN	I, TODD E
Melville, NY 11747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/9

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/923,113

Wolf

Office Action Summary Examiner

Todd E. Manahan

Art Unit **3732**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply	·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If the p	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date o	the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	, (110 001111101110111111111111111111111			
Status					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This action				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is the Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio			
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims 1-24	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement			
Applica	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	i			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: வ் approved யி disapproved by the Examine			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	i i			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bureau Company (1997)	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·			
14) 🗀	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) L					
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm		4) Determine Comment (PTO 412) Paper No. (a)			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
a) L.Jin	ionnation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1443) Paper NO(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/923,113 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 11

Figure 12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-10 and 13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,113 Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd E. Manahan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2695.

Todd E. Manahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

T. E. Manahan June 20, 2002